

## Consideration of consciousness and soul

*Branimir Galeković, M.Sc.*

*Devoted to Ervin Laszlo*

The human being consists of two components: material and spiritual. It is believed that the spiritual component consists of consciousness, spirit and soul. Namely, we are constantly talking about a combination of consciousness, spirit, soul. We must first separate the conceptual use of the term spiritual world in relation to the material world. We use the term spiritual world to mean the immaterial world. The term spiritual world is often associated with a religious term, but these are two completely different things.

When it comes to consciousness there is an understanding that it has existed since the beginning of life and that it is an integral part of all living beings. It is not fully defined what consciousness is. There are as many definitions as there are authors. Most generally consciousness is everything. It is possible that consciousness has various stages, stages of development. In any case, all this makes up the content of the akashic record. What interests us is the existence of consciousness at the level of the human being. Is it some specific stage of consciousness development? That is, if consciousness is everything then it contains all that we are in every respect, and that is then what in the akash makes a complete record of us. Interestingly, I have never heard anyone talk about the records of consciousness, but only about the records of the soul.

It is thought that life on Earth have appeared 4 billion years ago. According to our understanding it evolved more and more towards a more organized structure. At some point, human beings emerged, clearly, primarily at some very low level. According to the understanding that consciousness already exists at the cellular level, this human being also had some level of consciousness. We could say that this human being had consciousness and mind. Basically, because this human being was a material entity, it is conceivable that the consciousness and mind that belonged to him were spiritual categories. And so that human being evolved all the way to modern man.

On the other hand, there are spiritual beings or spiritual entities in the spirit world, such as souls, angels, fairies, demons, etc. According to records by hypnotherapists, soul's entities have their own spiritual life from its beginning onwards. For their development, they need to occasionally incarnate into material beings. It's like some kind of education or gaining experience. Souls thus spend a certain amount of time in their spiritual world, then incarnate, and after the death of their host they return to their spiritual world. The process thus proceeds in accordance with the needs of the development of the soul. In the Akasha these souls have their records in which there are also episodes of incarnations in human beings, but these are not records of human beings but the records of souls.

Based on conversations with souls it is evident that souls followed the development of the human being until they determined that the development of the human being had reached the level necessary for the incarnation of souls. And since then, supposedly about 300,000 years ago (but, it is not important), souls have begun to incarnate in human beings without authorization, without question. It is clear that these are not entities immanent to human beings, they are intruders.

I now ask the question: who are we really? If we assume that consciousness is

an infinite spiritual category with its record in the Akasha then the question is what the connection between the particular consciousness and concrete human beings is.

Generally, all of this is immaterial, akashic field structure. Let's start with some simple picture of the entire cosmic system. Cosmos always existed and will exist forever. The cosmos manifests itself through universes, which are in successive existences, their own origins (Big Bang) and their own disappearances. (There is an inevitable question: is there another unknown way of manifesting the cosmos? We are talking about the cosmos as a spiritual world, manifested through the material world.) Now imagine the similar, but not exactly the same structure of all this, as in computers, - structure of folders and files. Akasha at the cosmos level could be a folder in which all the data is contained as files. But, it could be also like a folder that contains all the universes as subfolders (akashic fields), and the data in them is like files. We can thus imagine that the universe folders also have their subfolders (specific fields as morphogenetic) and that all of this has a pyramid structure. Various universes can be structurally completely different. The extent to which this structure generates the resulting hierarchy remains questionable.

We are talking about the global Akashic field of the cosmos, but also about the specific Akashic fields of individual universes. It is logical that the global Akashic field contains all possible information of all the individual Akashic fields of all universes, always and forever. It is possible that during the collapse of the universe, the former Akashic field is lost in some way, and with the emergence of a new phase of the universe, all necessary information is obtained from the Akashic cosmic field, or that the existing Akashic field continues to exist with all of its contents. Let's stick to the Akashic field of the universe. It should contain information about absolutely every event in the universe, about every particle ever created and about every cluster. It turns out that the universe is extremely well organized and that probably everything has a purpose, so I ask the question whether the universe really keeps all the information for some of its own needs or is there some meaningful choice of information. It is possible that there are folders of human consciousnesses, and files of individual human consciousness in the Akashic field of our universe that could be there that could be there not only for the purpose of historical registration but also for some higher purpose.

It is possible that the development of consciousness begins ab ovo with the birth of a human being, but it is also possible that there is a kind of "incarnation" of some already existing consciousness. Such considerations already exist. The question immediately arises, does the consciousness continue its existence in the Akasha after the death of a human being, does that consciousness in some way further develops or is it merely an informational data. Does this "incarnation" of consciousness have any purpose in this new person or there is a logical explanation for the connection between these two people. Does consciousness in the spirit world have a life of its own? Can it be treated as some kind of entity?

What makes the continuity of human life? What remains after death is consciousness. Does this mean that current consciousness is related to past life consciousness (and what is that life)? Does it function like some sequel so the consciousness of the past life is connected to the consciousness of the previous one and so on? What connects all these consciousnesses, could it be some kind of family connection or something completely different? Does the consciousness of the deceased necessarily continue by connecting with the consciousness of some subsequent deceased person? Could there be a case when the consciousness of the deceased person no longer has a sequel? If the consciousnesses in the Akash form

some kind of sequence then by going backwards we have to come to the first consciousness in that chain. Where does that consciousness come from? On the other hand, if there are more than seven billion people today and following so many consciousnesses backwards, there is a serious question about the origin of all these consciousnesses. According to some examples from India there seems to be some family note in the inheritance of consciousness. If such chain of consciousness exists, we might ask ourselves, what is common to them, what connects them, why are they in the same chain. What makes the previous consciousness a past life for each of these individual consciousnesses? Does incarnated consciousness affect current consciousness and how? That is, does a newborn human being benefit from incarnated consciousness, what kind of benefit and in what way?

The question arises as to how the Akasha registers us. It is possible that consciousness is the carrier of our being on a cosmic level. No way it can be a soul because it is a foreign entity, which only resides in us, but it is not us. If we are represented by consciousness (possibly something else) in Akasha then the question is whether it is one closed finite whole (only once) or could it be that in some way that record has a continuation in the 'other' human being. If so, the question immediately arises in which other human being? So, it is a question of the continuity of human consciousness as a cosmic entity. Does consciousness continue to live actively? If so, how? What about the Akashic records of consciousness and how to get to them?

\* \* \*

In his book *Science and the Akashic Field*, Ervin Laszlo talks about the consciousness that still exists in the dead and it is possible to communicate with it, i.e. it is about communication with the dead. And while talking about consciousness all the time, at one point Ervin Laszlo in some way equates the notion of consciousness with the notion of the soul. The soul is only a spiritual entity that incarnates in human beings and in his "life" - the age of that incarnation is an integral part of his "life". When communicating with a deceased person, it is possible to communicate with consciousness, but also with the soul. What kind of communication is actually taking place?

\* \* \*

It is the fact that the soul, unlike consciousness, is given enormously more attention and it is an absolutely very popular topic, both in a large number of literature pieces with the topic of past lives, and through its presence in religion. The incredible financial exploitation of conversations with souls and "readings" from Akashic records should not be ignored. Such an incredible interest for the soul and its exploitation needs considerable attention to be paid for this phenomenon.

I have already noted that I have never heard anyone speak of the records of consciousness, but only of the records of the soul. If there we are records of consciousness in Akasha, are they also available to us?

First of all, let us ask ourselves a question of principle: does every human being have a soul? Looking at evolution as such, it is in fact the origin of life in various forms, plant, animal, human. Reading books on the conversation with souls, one gets the impression, according to their statements, that souls are some separate spiritual entities created together with the universe, or even earlier - that they are of cosmic origin. Souls only use human life in a form that allows them to satisfy their needs for development through incarnations. Souls even mention that they had

supervised life on Earth and even encouraged it to develop at the level they needed. By all accounts it should be a cosmic phenomenon. That is, what is a human in whom the soul does not incarnate? Does this human have consciousness, emotions and her/his own self? Does such a human exist normally, but only without the problem that the soul brings in with his incarnation? Does the soul practically direct the life of an individual or can there be a conflict of interest? Does this mean that the person in whom the soul incarnated is different from the one who would be without that incarnation? Practically this means that it could be that the soul manipulates a living being. Maybe I didn't understand everything well or the soul stories aren't very consistent.

Over the time, a destiny called the soul has intervened in the history of the human race. The soul entity has probably always existed as a separate spiritual entity. Available literature suggests that in the spirit world and occasionally incarnate as various material beings in the universe. These incarnations serve them as a school for attaining ever higher levels of development. According to the souls themselves, they were following the development of the human beings on Earth and when they reached, in their opinion, a sufficiently high degree of development for their needs, they began to incarnate in these beings. Simply as usurpers, because they did not ask permission for it. Supposedly it could have happened 300 million years ago, although that information is not important at all. It is only the fact of the incarnation that matters. The records of souls in Akasha consist of their spiritual life and all their successive incarnations in various material beings. These records in the akash are not records of conscious human beings but may contain fragments of them. It is possible that we could use memories of past lives to try to find traces of the succession of entities of consciousness, especially if this is feasible for more than two consecutive generations. There is another story about pre-Adamic and Adamic man that tells us about the souls getting into human beings. Simply, there is a pre-Adamic man without a soul and there is an Adamic man with a soul. According to some authors, half of humanity is made up of people without a soul. From the akashic point of view, the pre-Adamic people have one record, and that is the record of consciousness without a soul, and the Adamic people have a record of consciousness including the soul. There is a very widespread point of view about the soul, saying that Adamic people have a record of the soul, and pre-Adamic people, who have no soul, do not have a record, and therefore do not exist at all, so they do not have their own lives. That is obvious nonsense.

Seen from the point of view of the soul as the carrier of the record of the human being, the question arises - according to which criterion the soul incarnates into another human being? According to the descriptions in the literature, the soul spends some time in the world of souls and then incarnates into a human being. Into which human being? In addition to their staying in the world of souls, the history of souls in Akasha contains, parts of their incarnation in individual human beings. When looking for an Akashic record of the soul that is currently in us, I do not know by what criteria we have the right to appropriate the stay of the soul in a human being as if it were us. We appropriate the continuity of the soul as our continuity. If we were to try to go back to the history of the soul, we would have to get to the point where the soul first incarnated into a human being and then we could say that we are like, say, a Neanderthal and that we have existed since then. We must say that we know nothing about when that soul has existed and what its entire history is. However, it is very clear that this is how we have existed since the soul first decided to incarnate into a human being. It is possible that the soul in its history has had incarnations in various

other beings as well, not just human ones. Are we in those incarnations too? And what when the soul on its developmental path reaches a stage when it no longer incarnates? Do we then cease to exist, because the soul no longer incarnates, there are no future lives? On the other hand, there is the notion of young and old souls. When a young soul first incarnates into a human being does it mean that that human being did not exist before, because there are no previous lives? As the human race experienced an enormous expansion in a relatively short time, it was reportedly necessary for a large number of souls, especially young people, to meet these needs, which means that a large number of people do not yet have their own spiritual history. Their Akashic records are completely fresh and scarce.

\* \* \*

Apparently, we made a very bad mistake somewhere when we accepted that the soul takes a place and significance in our lives that does not belong to it in any way and attributed to some parts of its Akashic record, in fact a foreign entity, attributes as if it were ourselves. At the same time, we have almost completely ignored the fact that we are our (ourselves) consciousness and instead have devoted more time to it as well as retrieving our record in the Akash.